

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/438,247	11/12/1999	JUNJI NISHIGAKI	15162/01290	9067
24367	7590 04/14/2005		EXAM	INER
SIDLEY A	USTIN BROWN & W	KASSA, YOSEF		
	I HARWOOD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3400	SUITE 3400			PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201			2625	
			DATE MATERIAL OAK 4/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/438,247	NISHIGAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	YOSEF KASSA	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C	October 2004				
_					
· <u> </u>	,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application					
- ', '	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar				
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 0) The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
		* *			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
	difficit. Note the attached	Office Action of form F 10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
· _ ·)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
		H			
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority					
		received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not r	eceivea.			
Attachmont/c\					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∆\ □ (=4== 2= - △	• (PTO 442)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u>_</u> .			

Art Unit: 2625

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments see the remark on page 5-8, filed on Oct. 14, 2004, with respect to rejection(s) of claims 1-13 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made on claims 1-15 of Downs U.S. Patent 6.233,361, and further in view of Lotspiech U.S. Patent 4,271,476.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Downs U.S. Patent 6.233,366, and further in view of Lotspiech U.S. Patent 4,271,476.

With regard to claim 1, Downs discloses a plurality of processors (see Fig. 4, items IPT1-IPT3) processing input image data in parallel with each other (note that the processors are connected in parallel) and outputting respective processed image data (note that the respective images are sensed by sensors V1-V3 in Fig. 1); an address memory storing address information (see col. 15, lines 57-65) for each respective portion of image data being processed by each

Application/Control Number: 09/438,247

Art Unit: 2625

of plurality of processors (refer to Fig. 1, each respective image of the scanned images are processed by different processors).

Downs does not explicitly call for the address information is related to a position of each portion of input image data within the input image data. However, at the same field of endeavor, Lotspiech teaches this feature (see col. 3, lines 1-14). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the teaching of Lotspiech's image address storing process into Downs's system. The motivation for doing so is to provide completed image by combine section of an image.

With regard to claim 2, Downs discloses an image memory storing image data output from plurality of processors (see Fig. 4, items 1A, !b, 2A, 2B, 3A and 3B, and also refer to buffers E-J), and read means reading image data from image memory on the basis of address information stored in address memory (see col. 16, lines 49-49).

With regard to claim 3, Downs disclose an image memory storing image data output from plurality of processors along the sequence of addresses on the basis of address information stored in address memory (see col. 15, lines 57-col. 16, lines 7).

With regard to claim 5, Downs discloses plurality of processors also output arrangement information corresponding to processed data when outputting data (see col. 15, lines 57-65).

Claim 6 is similarly analyzed and rejected as claim 1. As to the additional limitation of "a controller restoring a single image from plurality of data

Application/Control Number: 09/438,247

Art Unit: 2625

processed" is taught by Lotspiech (see col. 2, lines 37-42). It would have been obvious to incorporate the teaching of Lotspiech's image data combining process into Downs's system. The motivation for doing so is to combine section of an image to form a completed image.

Claims 7 and 8 are similarly analyzed and rejected as claims 2 and 3.

With regard to claim 9, Downs discloses first memory is provided in correspondence to each of plurality of processors (see Fig 2, items 1A and 1B, and processors IPT1-IPT3).

Claim 10 is similarly analyzed and rejected as claim 5.

Claim 11 is similarly analyzed and rejected as claims 1 and 6. As to the additional limitation of "dividing input image data into a plurality of image data" is taught by Lotspiech (see col. 2, lines 36-40). It would have been obvious to incorporate the teaching of Lotspiech's image dividing system into Downs system. The motivation for doing so is to combine a divided section of an image to form a completed image.

Claim 12 is similarly analyzed and rejected as claims 1 and 6. As to the additional limitation of "a data flow control, coupled to first and second processors for coordinating the operation". At the same field of endeavor, Lotspiech teaches this feature (see col. 3, lines 7-12). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the teaching of Lotspiech's controller system into Hata et al system. The motivation for doing so is to control plurality of processors for processing an image data.

Application/Control Number: 09/438,247 Page 5

Art Unit: 2625

ĺ

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Other Prior Art Cited

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. (5,920,646) to Kamon discloses digital copying apparatus capable of forming a superior...

US Patent No. (6,393,162) to Higurashi discloses image synthesizing apparatus.

US Patent No. (4,894,646) to Ryman discloses method and system for processing...

US Patent No. (6,263,118) to Kanno et al discloses image processing apparatus.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOSEF KASSA whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2625

(703) 306-5918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BHAVESH MEHTA can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communication and (703) 872-9306 for after Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631. The group receptionist number for TC 2600 is (703) 305-4700.

PATENT EXAMINER

Yosef Kassa

07/6/04.

SUPERVISORY PATERY EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600